EXHIBIT B (PART 2)

- A. Yes, they are supervisors.
- Q. But foremen and general foremen at the Mystic site did not get performance evaluations?
 - A. No, they did not.
 - Q. That were written?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

- Q. To your knowledge, was their performance at all discussed with them?
 - A. To my knowledge, no.
- Q. Who are the vice presidents of the company. I know you mentioned Mr. Cakrane. Are there any other vice presidents of the company?
- A. Yes. I would have to get the company directory.
 - Q. Are there lots? How many are we talking?
 - A. Quite a few, dozens.
- Q. How are employees put on notice, if at all, as to the identity of the vice presidents of the company?
 - A. Rephrase the question, please.
 - Q. Are employees given any notice to your knowledge as to who the vice presidents of the company are?
 - A. Well. If they work in a certain

department, they will know that anyway. That's going to be common knowledge.

- O. Like what kind of departments?
- A. Estimating, engineering, safety, human resources.
- Q. What about the craft workers, are they told in any way who the vice presidents of the company are?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do they have access to a company directory to find that out in any way?
 - A. I wouldn't have any idea.
- Q. Why don't you go ahead and put those three policies aside. I may question you later on those.

(Document marked as Anderson

Exhibit 8 for identification)

- Q. Take a look at what's been marked Exhibit
 No. 8. Let me know when you are through looking at
 it.
 - A. (Examines document) Okay.
 - Q. Have you seen this document before?
 - A. Ones very much like it, if not this one specifically.
 - Q. Do you recall this letter being issued to

employees at the Mystic site on March 1, 2002?

A. I don't recall that, no.

- Q. With respect to the three policies that I previously had in front of you, Exhibits 5, 6 and 7, was there any reason other than, as you described, your feeling that the training on orientation on EEO matters was deficient, was there any other reason why these policies were put out?
- A. Well, we had two issues come up on graffiti and discrimination issues, possible alleged discrimination issues.
- *Q. Did you intend to with those policies put out to employees an understanding as to what the policy and procedure would be for dealing with discrimination on-site?
- A. I prepared a toolbox training also, and I did a check stuffer at some point, which I don't remember the date exactly, which would be an attachment to their check that spoke to these issues, to all the craft.

MS. PALACIOS: Could you read back the last question.

- *(Question read)
- Q. Was that a yes or no answer?

A. Yes, yes, yes.

- Q. You mentioned there was a situation with graffiti that you intended to address with these policies. Take a look at them yourself. I didn't see a mention about graffiti specifically in these policies, but I wondered if there was a reason for that or not. That's my question.
- A. No, no reason that I can think of or specifically tell you.

Can we step back for a moment.

- Q. Sure.
- A. Exhibit 6 here speaks to sexual harassment specifically, inappropriate pictures, written material, just to point that out.
- Q. Well, because you had some role in drafting these, I'm trying to get your understanding, so that's helpful. Did you intend for that to cover graffiti when you talk about written material?
 - A. No, I did not.

(Documents marked as Anderson Exhibits 9-10 for identification)

Q. Take a look at what I had marked as Exhibits 9 and 10. Is this the toolbox meeting that you put together that you described previously?

- A. (Examines documents) Yes.
- Q. Is the exhibit marked as Exhibit 9 the check stuffer that you talked about?
 - A. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Again, you mentioned graffiti being part of the reason why you put together these policies. Was there a reason why you didn't include graffiti in the check stuffer description?
 - A. I don't recall, no.
- Q. I noticed in Exhibit 10, you do mention graffiti in Paragraph 2 and talk about graffiti and threats being subject to a zero tolerance in the company?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Could you describe to me what your understanding that you intended to convey would be a zero tolerance policy on these issues.
- A. If you use any threats or if you are caught doing any graffiti that's of a harassing or intimidating nature, you are going to be terminated by the company.
- Q. Was there any training that you conducted for anybody at Mystic when you rolled out these policies and procedures?

- A. Formal training you are asking?
- Q. Yes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. No.
- Q. Or any informal training?
- A. It was brought up at staff meetings on a pretty regular basis by myself.
- Q. When you say "brought up," what do you mean by that specifically?
- A. We had a staff meeting every morning of all the superintendents. It was a topic that I touched upon fairly frequently, discrimination and graffiti.
- Q. Did you ever have occasion to meet with the craft foremen or general foremen on these policies?
 - A. No.
 - O. Do you know if anyone else did?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Did you give anybody else authority to train anybody else on the site on these policies?
 - A. Would you rephrase that.
 - Q. Sure. Did you ask anybody else other than yourself to give training or mention these policies on the work site?
 - A. No. This Exhibit 10 was distributed by the safety department to each crew and was to be

reviewed at their toolbox safety meeting, which, as I recall, was Monday mornings.

- Q. Tell me a little bit about the toolbox meetings. Did you sit in on any of them at Mystic?
 - A. I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Have you ever sat in on them while you were working for WGI?
 - A. On other sites I have in the past.
 - Q. How long do they usually take?
 - A. 10 to 15 minutes usually.
- Q. In your experience, are folks focused and interested in what is being said usually?
- MR. PATERNITI: Objection. Go ahead.
- A. Generally, yes.
 - Q. At Mystic, do you know who conducted the toolbox trainings?
 - A. The foremen and the stewards in some occasions in some crafts.
 - Q. And the stewards you said?
 - A. On some occasions, the stewards were there, too.
 - Q. Was the safety department ever involved in doing the toolbox trainings, other than this one time you described?

A. The safety department did special training, but they didn't do these.

- Q. The training as reflected in Exhibit 10 in front of you where you have the toolbox meeting discussion and you have the date, and I guess in that one it says Mr. Paul Aiello was the supervisor, I assume those are signatures of people that attended. Is that true?
- A. They would be on the crew he would be supervising, yes.
 - Q. Do you know Mr. Paul Aiello?
- A. No, I do not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Do you know whether or not he's a foreman?
- A. No, I don't know.
- Q. Do you know whether or not he's someone who is in the safety department?
- A. Not that I am aware of.
- Q. I guess what I'm trying to find out is you mentioned the safety department did this, true?
 - A. No.
 - O. That's not true?
- A. No. The safety department would prepare a toolbox training each week. They would send that out to all the superintendents, who would distribute

that down through all the foremen and down to all the crews who would then conduct their own training.

We had lots of crews. This was a way to get some training to everybody at one time.

- Q. What specifically happened with respect to this training?
- A. As they would do with any toolbox training that was prepared, as I said, normally by the safety department, the foreman would read what was done was written. They would have a discussion that would be appropriate. Everyone would sign it. Then these forms would come back to the safety department.
- Q. What happened with this particular training? You told me with safety toolbox trainings, that the safety department, especially the superintendent, would then go to the foremen. I know you put this together. What did you do after you put it together to make sure it got to the crews?
- A. I gave a copy of it to the safety department to do their normal distribution as they would normally do with their regular toolbox safety meeting.
 - Q. Did you have any discussions about how you

wanted this training to be conducted with the safety department?

- A. With the safety department?
- O. Yes.
- A. No.
- Q. With anybody else?
- A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Did you get any questions from any of the craft workers about this toolbox training or any of the policies that we've been discussing?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. No questions from the foremen or general foremen?
 - A. Not that I recall.

(Document marked as Anderson Exhibit 11 for identification)

Q. Here's Exhibit 11, Mr. Anderson. This is a document that was given to us during the deposition of John Baldwin, who, as you may or may not know, worked on a separate WGI site that was not Mystic.

Have you seen this policy before?

- A. (Examines document) No.
- Q. Do you have any idea of where this policy came from?

A. It would appear to be generated by my company at a particular site, because it identified P4, which is a site in Wisconsin.

- Q. Did you have any dealings with this site at all in the performance of your duties?
 - A. As to labor relations?
 - O. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. You never actually worked with that policy, though? You were not aware of that policy at all at that Wisconsin site?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you know who had duties for EEO matters at that site?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Are you familiar with all of the policies relating to work issues that the company puts out for employees? Well, let me put it this way. Is there any sort of central database or manual for policies that had been given out to employees over time at different sites?
 - A. I wouldn't know the answer to that.
 - Q. That's fine if you don't know.

 My next questions have to do with at

Mystic, did you have any responsibility at all to look at the EEO policies of the subcontractors on-site to determine whether or not they were acceptable or appropriate?

- A. Do you mean were there written policies, for instance?
 - Q. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- A. No.
- Q. Has there ever been a requirement to your knowledge of a subcontract, with some of these subcontractors?

MR. PATERNITI: Objection.

- A. At that particular project?
- Q. In general, have you ever heard that where WGI would say as part of their agreement with a subcontractor, "We want to look at your policies before we agree"?
 - A. I have seen that on government projects.
- Q. Incidentally, was Mystic a federally funded site?
- 21 A. No.
- Q. How about Weymouth?
- 23 A. No.
- Q. When the toolbox training was done, were

subcontractor employees involved in those toolbox trainings to your knowledge?

- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Do subcontractor employees sit in on toolbox trainings that are performed by WGI?
 - A. I don't remember a requirement for that.
- Q. I spent part of the afternoon yesterday looking at the "With All Due Respect" video. Have you seen that before? It was produced to me by WGI in this case.

Have you ever seen that video, the training video on EEO and I guess affirmative action?

- A. I may have.
- Q. You don't have a specific recollection offhand?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. PALACIOS: Off the record.

(Discussion off the record)

- Q. Do you know of any regular meetings that were held with foremen and general foremen on the Mystic site either with you or with superintendents?
- A. With myself, I don't recall any meeting specifically with foremen. The superintendents, I wouldn't have any personal knowledge of that.

Q. Do you know whether the safety department ever held any meetings with just supervisors, like for foremen and the general foremen of the craft workers?

A. I don't know the answer to that.

MS. PALACIOS: Why don't we take a few minutes.

(Recess at 2:30 p.m.)

BY MS. PALACIOS: (2:45 p.m.)

- Q. With respect to Exhibits 5, 6 and 7, which were the three policies that we talked about earlier prior to the break, I just wanted to confirm, did you instruct anybody to provide training on these policies to any of the foremen at the Mystic site?
 - A. I did not.

I have to change an answer I gave you earlier before the break, though.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. I believe you asked me if the safety department had regular meetings with the foremen. Was that the proper form of your question?
 - O. I don't remember.
- A. My answer was no, and that was based on the fact, my answer being no, that I never attended any,

but they had regular meetings with the foremen. I was just never physically there for those.

- Q. But you know that those occurred, meetings with foremen?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know whether or not anyone else directed the foremen at the Mystic site to be trained on the policies on Exhibits 5, 6 and 7?
 - A. Not to my knowledge, no.
- Q. Given that you were responsible for drafting those policies, can you tell me who your audience was. Who were those directed to?
 - A. The craft workers on the site.
- MS. PALACIOS: Why don't we mark the diagram as Anderson Exhibit 12.

(Document marked as Anderson Exhibit 12 for identification)

- Q. I'm just going to show you your drawing, which is now marked as Exhibit 12. You said you had those policies posted?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Where were they posted?
- A. Right about in this area here next to the clock alleys (indicating).

Q. Maybe you could take this opportunity to define that area where the "P" is on your drawing a little bit more. If you could put there I guess circles to indicate where the punch clocks were, if there were any.

- A. Would you like to make a new drawing or keep it on this?
- Q. We can keep it on one, unless you wanted to do like a highlight.
- A. Well, this is a little tiny one now. It will look like a bunch of...
- Q. Let's mark this as Exhibit 13, and we can indicate the powerplant site. Is that what you wanted to do?
- A. It will be just a close-up of the front gate.

(Document marked as Anderson Exhibit 13 for identification)

- Q. Before you do that, can you define for me, when you say craft workers -- and we've been operating on craft workers as a monolith -- who does that group include?
- A. The craft workers are going to include the craft members of each construction union with which

we dealt, who they were members of the union, but they would become our employees for a period of time.

O. That would include supervisors?

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- A. It would include the general foremen, foremen, journey persons and apprentices.
- Q. Now you are going to draw on Exhibit 13, which is going to be a close-up of the front gate.

 As you do that, if could you put in the clock alley.
 - A. Sure. (Witness complies)
 - Q. Were there any trailers in the front there?

 MR. PATERNITI: By the front gate you mean?

 MS. PALACIOS: Yes.
- A. There was a pipefitter trailer off here, but in this particular drawing, it will be too much of a close-up. There were trailers all around the perimeter for a variety of reasons (indicating).

MR. BENNETT: For the record, you are pointing to the perimeter of the powerplant site.

THE WITNESS: Yes, the powerplant site itself.

Q. I'm writing on your drawing, Exhibit 12, "Trailers around perimeter," but you can go ahead and keep drawing on Exhibit 13.

A. (Witness complies)

- Q. Okay, we're looking at Exhibit 13.
- A. This was the regular gate for the ingress and egress of vehicles.
- Q. Let me come around and stand next to you so I can see.
- A. Which had a mechanical arm. I won't put that in. There was a smaller gate here that was for personnel egress and ingress.

I recall we had three clock alleys. As craft workers, and myself -- I had a badge -- would come in the site, there was a card swipe on these clock alleys. They look like small shacks. As you entered, you would swipe your card.

The bulletin board with the postings was right here. This was the blank side. If you were coming in, unless you turned, you wouldn't see it. You would see the bulletin board, but you would have to turn your head. Going out in the evening, for instance, then you are facing that before you go to the clock alley (indicating).

- Q. What was the bulletin board actually on? Was it on a partition?
 - A. It was on, as I recall, legs. It was

fairly large. I'm going to say it was six by eight. It had sliding plastic doors. A lock was kept on them so people wouldn't tear stuff out of there.

- Q. Was this outside the clock alley, again, the bulletin board, or was it in a trailer?
 - A. This was all outside.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. BENNETT: Just for the record, can you have him label where the bulletin board was with the posting.

MS. PALACIOS: He did.

THE WITNESS: I did, right here. It's just kind of tiny (indicating).

MR. DESSIN: You do mean six by eight feet, right, not inches?

THE WITNESS: Yes. It was fairly large. That's the best dimensions I can recall.

- Q. Without making any marks yet, if you could just indicate to me where the permanent bathrooms were on the site at Mystic.
 - A. Here and here (indicating).
- Q. Could you draw two "B's" where the permanent bathrooms were.
 - A. Two "B's"?
 - Q. Yes, a "B" for each bathroom.

Q. And what about Joe?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

22

23

- A. I don't recall. I don't recall them being specific about it to me.
- Q. I know you said you recall that Godwin's complaint came in around September of 2002. Do you have a recollection as to when Joe's complaint came in, whether it was before or after or within months, or otherwise?
- A. Again, I would have to look at my journals.

 I'm thinking it was in August sometime possibly,

 maybe the first half of August.
- Q. After you got those two complaints in the summer or fall of 2002, did you on your own decide to go and walk the site to see if that graffiti was present?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Did you instruct anyone other than the superintendent and the steward in Godwin's situation to do so?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Did you communicate at all with Mr. McDaniel about the graffiti reports?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. How many times, just on that issue?

A. I don't recall it. Specifically with that issue, it would have been in conjunction with the issue with Joe and Godwin as part of the issue. I would have had discussions with -- we established a cleanup crew later on. I talked with him about it on that.

- Q. Whose idea was it to set up a cleanup crew?
- A. It was a recommendation that I made, not specifically, but "We need to do something." I told that to Bill Rittershaus. Then he decided that we should have a cleanup crew.
- Q. Would you consider setting up a cleanup crew to be a response to the graffiti?
 - A. Certainly.

- Q. Any other steps or conduct that WGI, in your mind, at the Mystic site undertook to address graffiti that was complained of?
- A. We've discussed everything that I can recall right now.
- Q. Can you tell me a little bit about -- I understand you spoke to Rittershaus, and you recommended doing a cleanup crew, and it sounds like he went ahead with the idea. Did you at any point personally meet with any individual who was selected

to be part of the cleanup crew to discuss what was happening and what the goals were of their work?

- A. I talked to Bob Blount at one point, who ran the crew. I didn't talk to the members of the crew, no.
- Q. Remind me again. Bob Blount was superintendent of laborers?
- A. Yes. He was a civil superintendent. As I recall, he reported to -- I can't remember the name now.
- Q. But you didn't actually directly speak to any members of the cleaning crew?
 - A. I did not.
- Q. Did you give Mr. Blount any specific directions on what he should say to the cleanup crew?
- 17 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. My understanding was that the cleanup crew was made up of laborers.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Was there a decision on who would be selected for the cleanup crew that was made up of laborers?
 - A. I wouldn't know the answer to that.

Q. Do you know whether or not the cleanup crew made any reports of what they found as they cleaned up the graffiti?

A. I don't know that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

- *Q. Do you know whether or not they found any additional graffiti?
 - A. No, not personally.
- *Q. When you say "not personally," did you learn of it any other way?
 - A. Why don't you reask the question again.

 MS. PALACIOS: Could you read it back.

 *(Questions read)
- A. If I judge by when I took my tour with the EEOC investigator, I would make an assumption that they found. I'm not supposed to assume, however, so...
- Q. Right, don't assume. That's fine. Did any of the members of the cleaning crew or Mr. Blount or anybody else, for that matter, ever talk to you about whether they found any additional graffiti?
- A. I don't remember having a specific conversation in that regard, no.
- Q. Do you know what the instructions were to the cleanup crew as to what they were supposed to do

with the graffiti if they found it?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. No. I only know my discussions with Bob Blount. I don't know what he specifically said to his crews.
- Q. Did you tell Bob Blount what you wanted to be done with the graffiti?
 - A. That we needed to get rid of the graffiti.
- Q. Anything more specific than "get rid of it"?
 - A. No, "get rid of it."
- Q. Do you have in your mind an idea of what "get rid of it" meant?
 - A. Sandpaper, paint.
 - Q. I know that you participated in the EEOC on-site, as you just told me. I know you were interviewed by the EEOC investigator, do you recall that?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Could you tell me a little bit about what your involvement was on the on-site, you know, particularly with respect to your going around the site with the EEOC and finding the graffiti.
 - A. Well, I was the contact person for any EEO issues, so it was just natural.

- Q. On that day, did the EEOC investigator meet with you at the beginning of the day and you remained with her throughout the day? How did it work?
- A. I seem to recall these were afternoon sessions, as best as I recall.
- Q. Was the tour of the facility where you said you saw additional graffiti, was that as part of your interview? Like did you get interviewed and then that happened, or right before? How did it work?
- A. I remember the interview as a separate event.
 - O. On a separate day you mean?
 - A. I would have to look at my logbooks.
- Q. After seeing graffiti when you looked around with the EEOC investigator, did you have any additional conversations with Bob Blount or anybody else on the cleaning crew about removing that graffiti found?
 - A. I don't remember talking to Bob, no.
- Q. Do you know whether the racial graffiti which Mr. Enagbare and Mr. Willis complained of was ever removed from the site?

- A. I don't personally know that, no.
- Q. Did anyone ever tell you that it was removed?
 - A. I can't recall that.
- Q. Do you know whether or not the cleanup crew ever took photographs of any of the graffiti that they found?
 - A. The cleanup crew?
 - Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

- A. I don't know.
- Q. You didn't instruct anybody to have them take pictures?
 - A. No.
- Q. What about writing down any of the content of what they found, do you know whether they did that?
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. And you didn't instruct anybody to do so?
- A. No.
 - Q. Do you have any understanding on the frequency and severity of the graffiti that was found, based on the cleaning crew's activities?
- A. No, not personally.
 - O. Or through anybody else?

A. No.

- Q. Did you or anybody else that you know of undertake to try to figure out whose handwriting was in the graffiti of which Mr. Enagbare and Mr. Willis complained?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was there any discussion at all with respect to the graffiti situation at Mystic as to additional surveillance methods at the site, for example, having cameras installed outside of the bathroom areas to monitor and review or anything like that?
- A. I had a discussion with ideas similar to that with Bill Rittershaus.
- Q. Could you tell me a little bit about those discussions.
- A. The ideas were rejected. The thought of putting cameras out there would create a big problem with the unions. There's the privacy issues they would bring up. It just wouldn't work.
- Q. That was Bill that thought it wouldn't work?
 - A. Well, we both agreed.
 - Q. Was there ever any discussion with the

union about the possibility of putting cameras up to deal with the graffiti situation?

- A. I had mentioned it to a few stewards during a stewards meeting once and got the reaction that I anticipated.
 - O. Which was negative?
 - A. Oh, yes.

- Q. Do you remember any of the stewards you spoke to, their names?
- A. Let's see, the pipefitters' steward, but I don't recall the name at the moment. He was one of them. There was the boilermakers' steward and the ironworkers' steward.

There were three names. I don't remember them at the moment.

- Q. Did you have any discussion with Rittershaus or anybody else about alternatives to dealing with the graffiti situation, other than just the cleanup crew, that you have not told me about?
- A. We talked about having guards at every port-o-john, and the idea was just not practical. Whenever someone used the port-o-john, the guard would have to run in immediately. It was very impractical for an idea.

- Q. Any other ideas?
- A. That's all I remember.
- Q. Did you ever get any of the supervisors of the craft workers together to discuss ideas that could work for dealing with graffiti on the site?
- A. Not specifically ideas. We talked about graffiti at staff meetings. You know, we tried to catch somebody doing it.
- Q. Did you contact police or any other law enforcement or instruct anybody to do so to deal with the graffiti?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1.5

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Do you know if anybody was questioned from the different craft worker groups that worked near some of the port-o-johns or bathrooms or anywhere else that graffiti was found to find out if they knew who did it?
- A. Could you please rephrase that again. I'm not sure I know what you mean.
- Q. Sure. Do you know if anyone questioned the craft workers who worked near places where graffiti was found to determine if anybody knew who did it?
 - A. No. It was too fluid of an environment.
 - O. What do you mean by that?

A. Craft workers that were here today would be over here tomorrow and then here the next day (indicating). It was very fluid.

- Q. I assume there was a company that actually provided the port-o-johns to the Mystic site. Is that true?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Do you remember what the name of that company was?
- A. I think it was Handy John. That may not be exactly right, though.
- Q. Did you have any conversations with anybody at that company about the situation that was occurring?
 - A. Not myself, no.
 - Q. Did anybody else that you know of?
- A. Bob Blount would have. He got cleaning supplies from them and worked with them to replace some of the worst port-o-johns. That company would take one and replace it. He worked with them on that I know.
- Q. Do you know if that company had any complaints about the vandalism of their property that was voiced to you or anybody else at that site?

A. Not that I'm aware of, no.

- Q. Was there ever an effort to identify the cost associated with cleaning up the bathrooms and allocating staff to the cleanup crew or materials that were used to clean up the bathrooms at that site?
 - A. Not that I'm aware of, no.
- Q. With respect to the cleanup crew, your instructions to Bob Blount, did they include any requirement that the cleanup crew go into every bathroom, or were they just going into bathrooms that had been identified to include graffiti? Do you know how that was handled?
- A. I would have to clarify. I would not instruct Bob Blount. He didn't work for me. I would discuss the issue with Bob.

What schedule he used or how he handled the cleanup of the port-o-johns, I do not know that specifically.

- Q. Did you discuss with Bob how many people you thought were necessary to be part of the cleanup crew?
- A. No. The company made that decision. It probably would have been Bob Blount. Steve Cantrell

may have been involved as his reporting, who he reported to; or Bill Rittershaus.

- Q. Going back to what you said about meetings with supervisors that occurred, could you tell me, how often were there meetings with supervisors that you participated in while you were at Mystic?
 - A. We had a staff meeting every morning.
 - Q. Every morning?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. Every single morning.
- Q. Who was present at the staff meetings?
- A. All the superintendents, myself, Bill
 Rittershaus, the site managers. Alan Corder, the
 business manager, was there. The warehouse manager
 was there. It was generally the heads of the
 various departments or craft, disciplines that were
 attending that meeting.
- Q. Did foremen and general foremen participate in those staff meetings?
 - A. No.
- Q. Was there a similar daily meeting for the general foremen and foremen of the craft workers?
- A. The superintendents would hold a briefing.

 They had a different term for it. It was to discuss the day's work. They may even discuss a safety

Q. Was there any discussion between the two of you about how that would have happened?

- A. He would have called Bob Blount.
- Q. Did you personally take any steps to determine whether there was additional graffiti after you walked the site with the EEOC?
 - A. I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you instruct anybody else, other than in this conversation with Bill Rittershaus, to do that?
- A. I remember having a conversation or bringing it up at one of the staff meetings afterwards, after the EEOC tours or audits and, you know, "Folks, pay attention. If you see it, tell Bob."
- Q. Did anyone at that meeting say that they had seen anything?
- A. I don't recall specifically anyone saying that.
- Q. Was it just one time that you addressed it at a staff meeting?
 - A. No.
 - Q. How many times?
 - A. It would be several times over a period of

months.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. At any time, did anyone who participated in a staff meeting say that they had seen anything or not seen anything?
- A. I don't remember anybody at a staff meeting bringing up, "Here's a specific example." There were discussions about graffiti in general on construction sites, that it's a difficult problem to manage.
- Q. Did anyone during these staff meetings raise ideas on how to deal with the graffiti?
 - A. Sandpaper, paint, cleaners.
- Q. Again, just to clarify, the folks that were part of the cleanup crew were WGI employees?
 - A. Correct, yes.
 - Q. The supervisor of those folks was Bob Blount?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. Who, if anybody, supervises Bob Blount?
 - A. I recall that Bob reported to Steve

 Cantrell, but Steve left at some point, and I don't recall who -- he might have had a direct report at some point to Bill, but...
 - O. Who was Steve Cantrell?

A. He ran the civil end of the project for a period of time. He went to the Weymouth job at one point, and I don't remember the dates of that.

- Q. With respect to the bulletin board that we talked about where postings were posted, was there lighting on that bulletin board for the night crew to see the postings, if you know?
- A. I don't recall specifically. We had quite a bit of light in that area. There were overhead power poles.

It was quite bright at night in there, but I don't remember specifically that there was light in the bulletin board case itself.

- Q. Do you know who had keys to open the bulletin board, if anyone?
 - A. Bill Junkins.

- Q. Can I have you pull out Exhibit No. 5, please. Exhibit No. 5 talks about the affirmative action objectives which I pointed out to you before in the fourth paragraph I believe, if I'm not mistaken; is that right?
 - A. I have No. 5 here. Is this it?

 MS. PALACIOS: Let's go off the record for just a second.

(Discussion off the record)

- Q. Exhibit No. 5, is that the complaint procedure?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. If you look at the fourth paragraph, second sentence -- and I know I had you look at this before -- the involvement of each supervisor?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you tell me where the affirmative action objectives are listed, if anywhere.
 - A. I cannot.
- Q. If you look at the exhibits where the toolbox training and check stuffer are, I believe there's a date of September 16th on both documents. One is actually printed on it in Exhibit 9, and Exhibit 10 actually has the date entered by the person that did the training.
 - A. Right.
 - O. They both have the same date, correct?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. I'm just curious, given that date, are you able to tell me with any clarity as to when the other policies that related to the toolbox training and the check stuffer were actually sent out to

folks?

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

23

- A. You mean the postings?
- Q. Yes, the three postings, Exhibits 5, 6 and 7.
 - A. This all occurred in a proximate time.
 - Q. Like within a week or so?
 - A. Within a week or so.
 - Q. Did you ever have any conversations with Mr. Cakrane about the graffiti situation at Mystic?
 - A. A few times.
 - Q. What did he have say to you about it? Actually, what did you talk to him about?
 - A. That it was driving me crazy.
 - Q. What did he say?
 - A. "Hang in there."
 - Q. Did he give you any ideas on how to --
- A. He mentioned, "Have you brought it up to Mike McDaniel?" I said, "Certainly."
 - Q. Did Mike McDaniel have any other ideas, other than cleaning, that he shared with you on how to handle the graffiti problem?
 - A. Well, the cleaning, trying to get word out to people that it was a violation.
 - The toolbox training, I discussed that with

him. We were a little confounded on what to do about it, in reality.

- Q. Did you seek assistance outside the company on how to deal with the graffiti problem?
 - A. No, I did not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Do you know if anybody else did?
- A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Very quickly, I just want to have this these two documents marked as exhibits. I have some quick questions.

(Documents marked as Anderson Exhibits 14-15 for identification)

- Q. I'm showing you what was marked as Exhibit No. 14. You will see that says September 11th as the date?
 - A. (Examines document) Yes.
 - Q. Can you tell me who Larry Myers is.
- A. He's a personnel executive in Boise, and may be the individual that Mike reports to.
 - Q. Can you tell me what this is, what the document is?
 - A. It looks like it is a memo from Larry Myers to the Office of the Chairman. That's those four individuals.

Q. Are you familiar with this human resources report that goes out it looks like weekly?

A. No, I'm not.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

22

23

- Q. Do you know whether that actually happens?
- A. No, I don't.
- Q. Have you ever seen this document before?
- A. I seem to recall it, yes, at some point.
- Q. Do you remember what the context was for your reviewing this document?
 - A. No, I don't.
- Q. You can put that aside. I'm showing you now what was marked as Exhibit No. 15. This looks like it's a memo from you. Is that true?
 - A. (Examines document) Yes.
- Q. Do you recall drafting this memo?
- 16 A. Let me look it over here real quick.
- 17 (Witness reviews document) Yes, okay.
- Q. Can you tell me why you put this memo together.
- 20 A. For the record, for Mike to have in his 21 files.
 - Q. Do you have monthly safety meetings, or you had monthly safety meetings back then?
 - A. It would be maybe four weeks, or six weeks.

It would depend a lot on schedules. It was fairly regular, but it was not always fixed every month with the union agents.

- Q. Who else from WGI participated in this meeting?
- A. Bill Junkins normally came with me, because it had a safety component primarily.
- Q. It looks like you gave them a little briefing on what was happening with the graffiti. Did the craft unions or the trade unions have any input on how to handle that situation at all?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Did you ask them if they had any input?
- A. Yes.
- Q. You mentioned earlier on in your deposition that you had two friendships that you maintained while you were at Mystic with Mr. Higgins and Mr. Junkins?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever talk to them off work hours or even during work hours about this graffiti problem that was "driving you crazy"?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Did they have any thoughts that you found

helpful?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. No more new thoughts than the rest of us had addressed it.
- Q. Did you have any conversations with Mr. Junkins about whether some of the graffiti posed any safety problems?
 - A. No. I don't remember that being a concern.
- Q. Did he have any concerns that there might be safety problems relevant to some of the graffiti that was found?
- MR. PATERNITI: Objection. Only if you know.
 - A. I don't recall.
- Q. Part of what happened during the investigation of this case at the administrative level here at the EEOC, which you may or may not recall, was that the EEOC investigator spoke to a number of employees at WGI.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. One of those employees was Jim Fay.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. In the interview that Jim Fay gave with the EEOC investigator, he mentioned that, as I will represent to you, he mentioned that he told

"management" about graffiti in the bathrooms or graffiti's on the site rather 10 to 12 times. Were you part of that management that he mentioned it to? In other words, did he come to you with complaints of graffiti at any time?

A. He did not.

- Q. Did you participate in any of those interviews, other than your own obviously, that were done with anyone else who worked at WGI?
 - A. Only my own.
- Q. I think the last question I really have for today is related to a document I want to now have marked.

(Document marked as Anderson Exhibit 16 for identification)

Q. It's not so long of a document, but it is eight pages. It is marked as Exhibit 16. This is actually notes that were typed of the interview, your interview during the EEOC on-site.

I would just like you to review it. Take your time to look at it and review it. Using my blue pen, if there's anything that you think is inaccurate, feel free to correct it. If a question is wholly inaccurate, cross it out, but I really

not sure what they means here.

"I do have book on operational guidance for the policy and staff," well, that's going to be the manual.

- O. That we discussed earlier?
- A. Right. "Have you read it?" I have used it. The context here is I read through the whole thing. I don't like the context. I didn't say that to her.

"Have you been trained on the policy?"

"Yes," three years ago by EEOC professionals.

Training was for one day." What I'm saying here in the context of this policy are two separate discussions. I talked about the fact that we had training in Cleveland, employee training, but she's got it as something I was doing, which I don't remember taking that training. I talked about the fact we had training.

By the way, I found her incredibly intimidating. I did not care for her at all. I have to tell you that. I'm very upset seeing this.

"Tell me about the policy and complaint procedure." "We have it, but I base my investigations on my experience. I used to be a

training that Mr. Myers says was going to be done to raise awareness? Do you know whether that happened at Mystic?

- A. Not that I'm aware of.
- Q. You didn't participate in any --
- A. I did not.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

1.8

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Is it accurate that you established a two-man crew to continually walk the site and clean up graffiti as it occurred?
- A. I didn't establish the crew. The company did. There was actually four persons involved. There was an individual assigned to each of the permanent, semi-permanent bathrooms, and then there was a two-person crew that walked the site and cleaned up graffiti as it occurred, like they say here.
- Q. When you say the company was responsible for establishing the crew, can you give me the names of people that encompasses "the company."
- A. Bill Rittershaus, Bob Blount. It would have flowed through that chain of command.
- Q. I'm not sure if I asked you this already, so indulge me if I have. Do you know whether Washington Group provided any training on how to

handle discrimination complaints to general foremen and foremen at the Mystic site?

A. I'm not aware of any.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Based on any understanding that you have of this field, do you know how a general foreman or a foreman at the Mystic site would have dealt with a complaint of discrimination?
- A. He probably would have told his next superior, whoever that individual reported to.
- Q. I understand the foremen report to the general foremen. Who is the next line of report for a general foreman?
- A. It would either be the assistant superintendent if there was such an individual assigned to that, or the superintendent.
- Q. If you look at the last line of Exhibit 14, "Several people have been terminated for racist conduct," that line.
 - A. Right.
- Q. Do you know anything about people who have been terminated for racist conduct at the Mystic site?
 - A. Let's see, September 11th, three people.
 - Q. Do you remember who those people were?

A. It was the individual that had the run-in with Ozzie Weeks. He was an electrician. I'm drawing a blank on the name. He was terminated. He used the "N" word in an argument with Ozzie. Ozzie worked for another contract, not for us.

Wait a minute, let's see if I have it right. (Examines document) Yes, Ozzie worked for Bond Brothers. The harasser worked for us. He was terminated.

We had a superintendent that was terminated for making racist comments to subcontractor employees.

- Q. Do you know who that was?
- A. John Day. Of course, we have Alan Griffis with Encompass.

I think the word "several" here is generally capturing what is going on. That was used in this memo. Those are the ones that I recall, though.

Q. Anybody else?

- A. Not that I can recall. By the time of this memo, I'm trying to put it into that time frame.

 That's all that's coming to mind at the moment.
 - Q. Do you remember if the person who was

terminated regarding the incident with Ozzie Weeks 1 was Dick O'Hare? 2 That's it, yes. Α. 3 Finally, just to clarify, the document that 4 we were reviewing that were the notes of the EEOC 5 investigator from the onsite, this was actually 6 included in the discovery responses or production to 7 WGI at some point. I asked you earlier in the day 8 whether you looked at any discovery. You said, 9 "Yes." I'm just curious, had you ever seen this 10 11 before today? No, I had not. 12 Α. MS. PALACIOS: That's all for today. Off 13 14 the record. (Discussion off the record) 15 (Whereupon the deposition 16 was suspended at 4:30 p.m.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS)
SUFFOLK, SS.

I, Ken A. DiFraia, Registered Professional
Reporter and Notary Public in and for the
Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify
that there came before me on the 12th day of April,
2006, at 10:07 a.m., the person hereinbefore named,
who was by me duly sworn to testify to the truth and
nothing but the truth of his knowledge touching and
concerning the matters in controversy in this cause;
that he was thereupon examined upon his oath, and
his examination reduced to typewriting under my
direction; and that the deposition is a true record
of the testimony given by the witness.

I further certify that I am neither attorney or counsel for, nor related to or employed by, any attorney or counsel employed by the parties hereto or financially interested in the action.

In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 2006.

Ken A. Di Frais

Notary Public

My commission expires 4/3/09